

1





UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/316,518	/316,518 05/21/1999		KENNETH L. STANWOOD	ENS-002-PAP	7910
20995	7590	12/04/2002			
		NS OLSON & BE	EXAMINER		
2040 MAIN STREET FOURTEENTH FLOOR				LY, ANH VU H	
IRVINE, C	A 92614			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				2662	
				DATE MAILED: 12/04/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

( ) ·		HG.	
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/316,518	STANWOOD ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Anh-Vu H Ly	2662	
The MAILING DATE of this communication apperiod for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the	e correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perio - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	I. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be eply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) or d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS frute, cause the application to become ABANDO	e timely filed  days will be considered timely.  om the mailing date of this communication.  NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>ar</u>	mendment filed 22 August 2002 .		
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ 1	This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allow			
closed in accordance with the practice under Disposition of Claims	er <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11	, 453 O.G. 213.	
4) Claim(s) 24-96 is/are pending in the applica	tion.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr	awn from consideration.		
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>52-77 and 88-96</u> is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>24-51 and 78-87</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner.		
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acc	cepted or b) objected to by the Ex	xaminer.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be held in abeyance.	See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a)∏ approved b)∏ disapp	proved by the Examiner.	
If approved, corrected drawings are required in	reply to this Office action.		
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	Examiner.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	gn priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	9(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) All b) Some * c) None of:			
1. Certified copies of the priority docume	nts have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority docume	nts have been received in Applica	ation No	
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri application from the International E</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list</li> </ul>	Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	_	

Attachment(s)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)

4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

6) Other:

14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).

a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Application/Control Number: 09/316,518

Art Unit: 2662

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Response to Amendment

This communication is in response to applicant's amendment filed August 22,
 The proposed amendment to the claims has been entered. Claims 24-96 are pending.

## Specification

- The substituted specification and the substitute drawings filed on January 22,
   2002 have been entered.
- 3. The title of the substitute specification is objected to for being different from the title shown in the declaration filed on October 02, 2000.

## Double Patenting

4. A rejection based on double patenting of the "same invention" type finds its support in the language of 35 U.S.C. 101 which states that "whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process ... may obtain a patent therefor ..." (Emphasis added). Thus, the term "same invention," in this context, means an invention drawn to identical subject matter. See *Miller v. Eagle Mfg. Co.*, 151 U.S. 186 (1894); *In re Ockert*, 245 F.2d 467, 114 USPQ 330 (CCPA 1957); and *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970).

A statutory type (35 U.S.C. 101) double patenting rejection can be overcome by canceling or amending the conflicting claims so they are no longer coextensive in scope. The filing of a terminal disclaimer <u>cannot</u> overcome a double patenting rejection based upon 35 U.S.C. 101.

Claims 24-51 and 78-87 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as claiming the same invention as that of claims 1-32 of prior U.S. Patent No. 6,016,311. This is a double patenting rejection.

Both, claims 24-51 and 78-87 of the application and claims 1-32 of U.S. Patent No. 6,016,311 are directed to dynamic bandwidth allocation within a wireless

Page 3

the two sets of claims is the same.

Allowable Subject Matter

communication system. Despite some minor differences in terminology, the scope of

5. Claims 52-77 and 88-96 are allowed.

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 24-96 have been considered but are

moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Anh-Vu H Ly whose telephone number is 703-306-5675.

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 7:00am - 4:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Hassan Kizou can be reached on 703-305-4744. The fax phone numbers

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9314

for regular communications and 703-872-9314 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or

proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-

4750.

av

December 2, 2002

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600